

#### **Abstract Presentation Scoring**

- Presentations will be scored based on the "Abstract Presentation Grading Rubric" on pages 2 and 3 of this document.
- The category marked on the "Abstract Presentation Entry Form" will determine which rubric is used to score your presentation.
- Reviewers will consist of physician members of the AOAO, resident members of the RAOAO, and members of the SAOAO National Executive Board.
- Each reviewer will complete an "Abstract Presentation Grading Rubric" and the total scores will be tallied and placed in numerical order.
- The highest three numerical scores after all presentations have concluded will be awarded via publication on the SAOAO website.
- In the event of a tie that prevents a clear distinction of the highest three numerical values, the SAOAO National Executive Board members will submit blinded votes to rank the presentations within the tie. Voting methods are outlined below;
  - Three separate votes will take place.
  - Each vote will be used to individually decide on the top three presentations among those in the tie
  - Vote 1: each member of the SAOAO National Executive Board will write down the abstract title of one of the presentations within the tie. These submissions will be tallied and the abstract title with the most votes will be the equivalent to the highest scoring presentation.
  - Vote 2: each member of the SAOAO National Executive Board will write down the abstract title of one of the presentations within the tie excluding the abstract chosen from "Vote 1". These submissions will be tallied and the abstract title with the most votes will be the equivalent to the second highest scoring presentation.
  - O Vote 3: each member of the SAOAO National Executive Board will write down the abstract title of one of the presentations within the tie excluding the abstracts chosen from "Vote1" and "Vote 2". These submissions will be tallied and the abstract title with the most votes will be the equivalent to the third highest scoring presentation.
  - The abstracts chosen from "Vote 1," "Vote 2," and "Vote 3" will be considered the three highest scoring presentation and will be awarded via publication on the SAOAO website.
- Scoring of the presentations will occur immediately following completion of all presentations.
- The SAOAO National Executive Board will announce the three winners immediately after completion of score calculations from the "Abstract Presentation Grading Rubric" and (if necessary) completion of the tie-breaker.



### <u>Abstract Presentation Grading Rubric – Review Article/Original Research</u>

Abstract Title:				
Abstract Category: Review Article/Original Research		Point Value		
Criteria	2 points	1 point	0 points	
1. Abstract Title				
The title describes the ideas presented in the				
abstract, intrigues the viewer, and is an appropriate				
length.				
2. Background				
Sufficient and accurate information was provided to				
clearly demonstrate connections to broader issues				
and provide rationale for the research.				
3. Hypothesis				
Clearly presented and appropriately answered via				
proper research design and truthful interpretation				
of the results.				
4. Methods				
Information on the population/resource used to				
collect date, the means utilized to collect the data,				
and the approach taken to analyze the results are				
clearly presented.  5. Results				
The data are presented clearly, in a logical manner,				
with appropriate units, and all statistically significant				
results are distinctly indicated.				
6. Conclusion				
Conclusions are logical, clear, and based on the				
results from the research.				
7. Applicability to the field				
Clearly and concisely states the importance to the				
field, how the knowledge advances the field, and				
how this information can be used in the future.				
8. Presentation				
The presenter is audible, speaks in a pace easily				
understood, speaks fluidly, remains under the 5				
minute time limit, and is prepared to provide				
meaningful, educational answers to questions.				
9. Poster				
The poster contains all information necessary to				
understand the research, the words are easy to read				
and visuals easy to see, and it is laid out in a logical				
manner.				
Column Totals				
TOTAL SCORE (maximum 18)				
Reviewer				



#### <u>Abstract Presentation Grading Rubric - Case Report</u>

Abstract Title:			
Abstract Category: Case Report	Point Value		
Criteria	2 points	1 point	0 points
1. Abstract Title The title describes the ideas presented in the abstract, intrigues the viewer, and is an appropriate length.			
2. Background Provides adequate introduction to the case study's condition including etiology, pathophysiology, clinical features, etc.			
<b>3. Patient</b> Thoroughly explains the chief complaint and pertinent patient demographics and medical history relevant to the case.			
<b>4. Findings</b> Logically explains the physical exam findings, special tests performed, and results of any diagnostic workup ordered (labs, imaging, etc.)			
5. Treatment/Intervention			
Concisely explains the approach taken to create a plan of action or how a treatment modality was used to provide support and therapy to the patient.			
6. Uniqueness			
Presents a clear and obvious case as to why this patient's condition is unique from other patients with the same or similar conditions			
7. Conclusion  Clearly and concisely states the importance to the field, how the knowledge advances the field, and how this information can be used in the future.			
8. Presentation The presenter is audible, speaks in a pace easily understood, speaks fluidly, remains under the 5 minute time limit, and is prepared to provide meaningful, educational answers to questions.  9. Poster The poster contains all information necessary to understand the research, the words are easy to read and visuals easy to see, and it is laid out in a logical			
manner.  Column Totals			
TOTAL SCORE (maximum 18)			
Reviewer			
veniemei			

